IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA RICHMOND DIVISION

E

D

In re: x

CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., et al.,

. Case No 08-35653 (KRH)

Chapter 11

Debtors. . x Jointly Administered

RESPONSE TO LIQUIDATING TRUST'S FORTY-SECOND OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO LANDLORD CLAIMS (REDUCTION OF CERTAIN INVALID CLAIMS-MITIGATION)

Berkadia Commercial Mortgage, LLC, ("Berkadia") successor-in- interest to Capmark
Finance, Inc. on behalf Well's Fargo Bank, N.A Successor by Merger to Wells Fargo Bank
Minnesota NA fka Norwest Bank Minnesota NA as Trustee for the Registered Holders of Merrill
Lynch Mortgage Investors, Inc Pass-Through Certificates, Series 1998-C1-CTL (the
"Claimholder"), by and through its counsel Bryan Cave LLP, hereby files this Response to
Liquidating Trust's Forty-Second Omnibus Objection To Landlord Claims (Reduction of Certain
Invalid Claims-Mitigation) (the "Objection"), and states as follows:

- 1. The Bankruptcy Trustee has objected to the proof of claim number 10034 (the "Claim") filed by Claimholder alleging that Claimholder has not meet its mitigation burden. The Objection offers no explanation for Debtors' basis for seeking a reduction of the Claim.
- 2. Rule 3001(f) of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure provides that a "proof of claim executed and filed in accordance with these rules shall constitute prima facie evidence of the validity and amount of the claim. Fed. R. Bankr P. 3001(f).
- 3. After a creditor has timely and properly filed a proof of claim, the Debtor must produce substantial evidence to rebut this prima facie evidence. See in re Hemingway Transp.

Inc. 993 F.2d 915, 925 (1st Cir. 1993) ("The interposition of an objection does not deprive the proof of claim of presumptive validity unless the objection is supported by substantial evidence"); In re Harford Sands, Inc. 372 F.3d 637,640-41 (4th Cir. 2004) (Creditor's filing of proof of claim constitutes prima facie evidence of amount and validity of claim, and burden is on debtor to object to claim and to introduce evidence to rebut its presumptive validity); In re Gran. 964 F.2d 882, 827 (8th Cir. 1992) ("The objection party must then produce evidence rebutting the claimant or else the claimant will prevail.")

- The Trustee bears the burden of proof for the disallowance of any portion of the 4. Claim. See In re Woodmere Investors Ltd. Partnership, 178 B.R. 346, 354 (Bankr. S.D.N.Y 1995).
- 5. The Trustee has asserted no evidence whatsoever, that Claimholder has failed to mitigate its damages.
- Based on information and belief, Claimholder has marketed and sold the property 6. that is the subject of the Claim, and therefore has mitigated its damages.
- 7. Because Trustee has produced no evidence whatsoever in support of the proposed reduction, the Trustee has failed to meet its burden of proof.

WHEREFORE, Claimholder respectfully requests that the Court (a) overrule the Objection as it relates to the Claim; (b) allow the Claim as provided in Claimholder's proof of claim; and (c) grant Claimholder such other and further relief as this Court deems appropriate under the circumstances.

Dated: June 24, 2011

BRYAN CAVE LLP

By:/s/ Philip J. Meitl
Philip J. Meitl (VA Bar No. 73215)
Bryan Cave LLP
1155 F Street NW, Suite 700, Washington DC
20004
(202) 508-6000 (phone)

AND

By:/s/ Keitha M. Wright

Keith M. Aurzada State Bar No. 24009880 Keitha M. Wright State Bar No. 24075310 2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 3300 Dallas, Texas 75201 (214) 468-3800 (Phone) (214) 468-3888 (Fax)

Attorneys for Claimholder

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby states that on the 2nd day of July, 2012, a true and correct copy of the above foregoing instrument was sent to:

Clerk of the Bankruptcy Court United States Bankruptcy Court 701 East Broad Street-Room 4000 Richmond, VA 23219

/s/ Phillip J. Meitl_____